

# PROPERTIES OF THE LEAST ACTION LEVEL AND THE EXISTENCE OF GROUND STATE SOLUTION TO FRACTIONAL ELLIPTIC EQUATION WITH HARMONIC POTENTIAL

César E. Torres Ledesma, Hernán C. Gutierrez, Jesús A. Rodríguez,  
and Manuel M. Bonilla

*Communicated by Vicențiu D. Rădulescu*

**Abstract.** In this article we consider the following fractional semilinear elliptic equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + |x|^2 u = \omega u + |u|^{2\sigma} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $N > 2s$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{2s}{N-2s})$  and  $\omega \in (0, \lambda_1)$ . By using variational methods we show the existence of a symmetric decreasing ground state solution of this equation. Moreover, we study some continuity and differentiability properties of the ground state level. Finally, we consider a bifurcation type result.

**Keywords:** harmonic potential, fractional Sobolev space, ground state solution, bifurcation result, variational method.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 45G05, 35J60, 35B25.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we consider the following fractional semilinear elliptic equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u + |x|^2 u = \omega u + |u|^{2\sigma} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

where  $N > 2s$ ,  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\sigma \in (0, \frac{2s}{N-2s})$ ,  $\omega \in (0, \lambda_1)$ .

The fractional Laplacian is characterized as

$$\mathfrak{F}((-\Delta)^s u(\xi)) = |\xi|^s \widehat{u}(\xi),$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}(u) := \widehat{u}$  is the Fourier transform of  $u$  and for functions  $u$  smooth enough, it can be defined by the principal value of the singular integral

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) = C_{N,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

The problem under consideration arises in the study of standing waves to the following time-dependent fractional Schrödinger equation,

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = (-\Delta)^2 \psi + |x|^2 \psi - |\psi|^{2\sigma} \psi, \quad (1.2)$$

where a standing wave solution to (1.2) has the following form

$$\psi(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t} u(x), \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This kind of solution reduces (1.1) to the following semi-linear fractional elliptic equation

$$(-\Delta)^s u(x) + |x|^2 u(x) - |u(x)|^{2\sigma} u(x) = \omega u(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.3)$$

Recently great attention has to paid to the existence of standing wave solutions to equation (1.3). For example, Ding and Hajaiej [7] considered the existence of ground state solutions of equation (1.2). Moreover, they considered the orbital stability of standing waves and provided an interesting numerical result about the dynamics. Guo [11] and Hajaiej and Song [12] have discussed the uniqueness result of the ground state solution of (1.3). To other related results we refer to the readers to [4, 5, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19, 20] and the reference therein.

Motivated by these previous results this paper deal with the existence of ground state solution of problem (1.1). Moreover we study some continuity and differentiability properties of the ground state level. Finally we consider a bifurcation type result.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND EXISTENCE RESULT

We shall work in the Hilbert space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that, if  $0 < s < 1$  be such that  $2s < N$ , then there exists a constant  $C_{2_s^*} = C(N, s)$ , such that

$$\|u\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C_{2_s^*} \|u\| \quad (2.2)$$

for every  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , where  $2_s^* = \frac{2N}{N-2s}$  is the fractional critical exponent. Moreover, the embedding  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous for any  $p \in [2, 2_s^*]$  and is locally compact whenever  $p \in [2, 2_s^*)$  (for more details see [6]).

Moreover, we introduce the following fractional Sobolev spaces

$$X^s = \left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_s = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.3)$$

Considering this space we have the following embedding.

**Lemma 2.1.** *The embedding  $X^s \hookrightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Let  $b > 0$  such that the set  $\Lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x|^2 < b\}$  has finite measure and

$$\text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}} < \frac{1}{C_{2_s^*}^2},$$

where  $C_{2_s^*}$  is given by (2.2). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 dx &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \right)^{\frac{2}{2_s^*}} \text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}} + \frac{1}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq C_{2_s^*}^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx \right) \text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\leq \frac{\max\{C_{2_s^*}^2 \text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}}, \frac{1}{b}\}}{1 - C_{2_s^*}^2 \text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u(x)|^2 dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Theta = \frac{1 - C_{2_s^*}^2 \text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}}}{C_{2_s^*}^2 \text{meas}(\Lambda)^{\frac{2_s^* - 2}{2_s^*}}}.$$

This shows that

$$\|u\|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\Theta}\right) \|u\|_s^2,$$

which yields that the embedding  $X^s \hookrightarrow H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.**

1. Since the embedding  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is continuous for any  $p \in [2, 2_s^*]$  and is locally compact whenever  $p \in [2, 2_s^*)$ , then by Lemma 2.1,  $X^s \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  continuously for  $p \in [2, 2_s^*]$  and locally compact for  $p \in [2, 2_s^*)$ .
2. Since the external potential  $V(x) = |x|^2$  is coercive, we can show that the embedding  $X^s \subset L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is compact for  $p \in [2, 2_s^*)$ .

Associated to problem (1.1), we have the functional  $I_\omega : X^s \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined as

$$\begin{aligned} I_\omega(u) = & \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u^2(x) dx \right) - \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(x) dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2\sigma + 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2\sigma+2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Standard arguments prove that  $I_\omega \in C^1(X^s, \mathbb{R})$  and for all  $u, v \in X^s$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I'_\omega(u)v = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{[u(x) - u(z)][v(x) - v(z)]}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u(x)v(x) dx \\ & - \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x)v(x) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{2\sigma} u(x)v(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, the critical points of  $I_\omega$  are weak solutions of problem (1.1).

Let  $\lambda_1$  defined as

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in X^s \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u^2(x) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2(x) dx},$$

which is the simple first eigenvalue of the following linear problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + |x|^2 u = \lambda u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_1 \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \|u\|_s^2. \quad (2.6)$$

Then, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *If  $\omega < \lambda_1$ , the functional  $I_\omega$  satisfies the Palais–Smale condition at any level  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , namely, any sequence  $(u_n) \subset X^s$  such that*

$$I_\omega(u_n) \rightarrow c \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\omega(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.7)$$

*has a converging subsequence.*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^s$  be a sequence verifying (2.7). Hence, for  $n$  large enough we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|u_n\|_s^2 - \frac{\omega}{2} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \|u_n\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} = c + o_n(1) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|u_n\|_s^2 - \omega \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \|u_n\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} = o_n(1) \|u_n\|_s. \quad (2.9)$$

Multiplying (2.9) by  $-\frac{1}{2\sigma+2}$  and adding to (2.8) we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\right) \|u_n\|_s^2 - \omega \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\right) \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 = c + o_n(1) - \frac{o(1)}{2\sigma+2} \|u_n\|_s.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u_n\|_s^2 \leq c + o_n(1) - \frac{o_n(1)}{2\sigma+2} \|u_n\|_s.$$

Together with  $\omega < \lambda_1$ , we know that  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $X^s$ . Consequently, up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $X^s$  and by Remark 2.2(2),

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and in } L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Therefore, by using the following equality

$$\langle I'_\omega(u_n) - I'_\omega(u), u_n - u \rangle + o_n(1) = \|u_n - u\|_s^2 - \omega \|u_n - u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \|u_n - u\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2},$$

we conclude that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $X^s$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Suppose that  $\omega < \lambda_1$ . Then, problem (1.1) has at least one radially symmetric ground state solution.*

*Proof.* We divide the proof into three parts. In the first step we use the mountain pass theorem to study the existence of a weak solution. In the second step by using Nehari's manifold we show that this solution is a ground state solution. Finally, by using symmetry rearrangement we show that this solution is radially symmetric.

*Step 1.* Clearly,  $I_\omega(0) = 0$ , and, by Lemma 2.3,  $I_\omega$  verifies the Palais-Smale condition. Now, we claim that  $I_\omega$  satisfies the geometry mountain pass condition. First, we note that there exists  $\omega_0 > 0$  such that for any  $u \in X^s$  we have

$$\|u\|_s^2 - \omega \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \geq \omega_0 \|u\|_s^2. \quad (2.10)$$

If not, since  $\omega < \lambda_1$ , for any  $\tilde{\omega} \leq 0$ , there is  $u_0 \in X^s$  such that

$$0 < \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{\lambda_1}\right) \|u_0\|_s^2 \leq \|u_0\|_s^2 - \omega \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \leq \tilde{\omega} \|u_0\|_s^2 \leq 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, (2.10) holds true. Remark 2.2 and (2.10) yield that

$$I_\omega(u) \geq \frac{\omega_0}{2} \|u\|_s^2 - \frac{C_{2\sigma+2}^{2\sigma+2}}{2\sigma+2} \|u\|_s^{2\sigma+2}.$$

Therefore, there are  $\rho > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that, for any  $u \in X^s$  with  $\|u\|_s = \rho$ ,

$$I_\omega(u) \geq \alpha.$$

On the other hand, let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $\|\varphi\|_s = 1$ , then

$$\frac{I_\omega(t\varphi)}{t^2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} \varphi^2(x) dx - \frac{t^{2\sigma}}{2\sigma + 2} \int_{\text{supp}(\varphi)} |\varphi(x)|^{2\sigma+2} dx,$$

which implies that  $I_\omega(t_0\varphi) < 0$  for  $t_0$  large enough. Therefore, by applying the mountain pass theorem [3], there exists  $\tilde{u} \in X^s$  such that

$$I_\omega(\tilde{u}) = c \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\omega(\tilde{u}) = 0,$$

where

$$c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\omega} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} I_\omega(\gamma(t))$$

and

$$\Gamma_\omega = \{\gamma \in C([0,1], X^s) : \gamma(0) = 0, I_\omega(\gamma(1)) < 0\}.$$

*Step 2.* We claim that  $\tilde{u}$  is a ground state solution. In fact, let

$$\mathcal{N}_\omega = \{u \in X^s \setminus \{0\} : I'_\omega(u)u = 0\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{N}_\omega \neq \emptyset$ , since  $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ . Furthermore,  $I_\omega$  is bounded from below on  $\mathcal{N}_\omega$  and there exists  $\Lambda > 0$  such that

$$I_\omega(u) > \Lambda \quad \text{for every } u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega.$$

Hence, there exists  $\tilde{c} > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{c} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega} I_\omega(u).$$

Clearly  $\tilde{c} \leq c$ . Let  $w_n \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$  be a minimizing sequence for  $\tilde{c}$ , so  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a (PS)-sequence. As in the proof of Lemma 2.3,  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $X^s$  and up to a subsequence  $w_n \rightarrow w$  in  $X^s$ . Furthermore, we can show that

$$I'_\omega(w_n)\varphi \rightarrow I'_\omega(w)\varphi, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2.11)$$

and, since  $w_n$  is a (PS)-sequence, we obtain

$$I'_\omega(w)\varphi = 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Therefore  $w$  is a nontrivial weak solution of (1.1). Since  $w \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ , we know that

$$I'_\omega(w)w^- = -\|w^-\|_s^2 = 0,$$

where  $w^- = \max\{-w, 0\}$ . Therefore,  $w$  is a ground state solution, that is, a non-negative solution with lowest energy and by [11, Theorem 1.1],  $\tilde{u} = w$ .

*Step 3.*  $\tilde{u}$  is radially symmetric. Setting a minimizing sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^s$  such that

$$I_\omega(u_n) \rightarrow \tilde{c} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega} I_\omega(u).$$

Let  $v_n = (u_n)^*$  the symmetric rearrangement of  $u_n$ . Since symmetric rearrangements are continuous in  $X^s$  (see [2]), then  $v_n \in X^s$ . Moreover, it is well known that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2\sigma+2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*|^{2\sigma+2} dx, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^*|^2 dx \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u^*(x) - u^*(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy dx, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u^*|^2 dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Therefore, for  $n$  large enough, we get

$$\tilde{c} \leq I_\omega(v_n) \leq I_\omega(u_n) \leq \tilde{c} + \frac{1}{n}, \quad (2.14)$$

and by Ekeland's variational principle there is a sequence  $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X^s$  such that

$$I_\omega(z_n) \rightarrow \tilde{c}, \quad I'_\omega(z_n) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|z_n - v_n\|_s \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, by the continuity of symmetric rearrangement and Lemma 2.3, there exists  $z \in X^s$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z$  in  $X^s$ ,  $I_\omega(z) = \tilde{c}$ ,  $I'_\omega(z) = 0$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z - v_n\|_s = 0.$$

The last equality shows that  $z = \tilde{u}$ . □

### 3. PROPERTIES OF THE LEAST ACTION LEVEL

By the previous section, the energy levels

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega} I_\omega(u) \quad \text{and} \quad C(\omega) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\omega} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} I_\omega(\gamma(t)),$$

are well defined. Moreover as in [15, Lemma 4.2] we can show that

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = \inf_{u \in X^s \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\omega(tu) = C(\omega). \quad (3.1)$$

Now we will study their properties such as continuity and differentiability. Note that, in the case  $s = 1$ , these properties were studied in [8, 14, 16]. We start our analysis with the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For every  $\omega < \lambda_1$ , the function  $\omega \mapsto \tilde{C}(\omega)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* To show this result we borrowed some ideas of [1]. Set  $(\omega_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \lambda_1)$  and  $\omega_0 \in (0, \lambda_1)$  such that

$$\omega_n \rightarrow \omega_0.$$

The previous analysis implies that

$$\liminf_{\omega_n \in (0, \lambda_1)} \tilde{C}(\omega_n) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{\omega_n \in (0, \lambda_1)} \tilde{C}(\omega_n) < +\infty.$$

Next, let  $u_n \in X^s$  the function which satisfy

$$I_{\omega_n}(u_n) = \tilde{C}(\omega_n) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\omega_n}(u_n) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

In what follows, we will consider two sequences  $\{\omega_{n_j}\}$  and  $\{\omega_{n_k}\}$  such that

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_{n_j}) \geq \tilde{C}(\omega_0), \quad \forall n_j \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_{n_k}) \leq \tilde{C}(\omega_0), \quad \forall n_k. \quad (3.4)$$

*Analysis of (3.3):* From the above results, we know that  $\{\tilde{C}(\omega_{n_j})\}$  is bounded. Consequently, there are a subsequence  $\{\omega_{n_{j_i}}\} \subset \{\omega_{n_j}\}$  and  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$C(\omega_{n_{j_i}}) \rightarrow C_0.$$

In the sequel, we will use the following notations:

$$u_i = u_{n_{j_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_i = \omega_{n_{j_i}}.$$

Thereby,

$$\omega_i \rightarrow \omega_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}(\omega_i) \rightarrow C_0.$$

We claim that  $C_0 = \tilde{C}(\omega_0)$ . In fact, from (3.3),

$$C_0 = \lim_i \tilde{C}(\omega_i) \geq \tilde{C}(\omega_0). \quad (3.5)$$

Let  $w_0 \in X^s$  be such that

$$I_{\omega_0}(w_0) = \tilde{C}(\omega_0) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_{\omega_0}(w_0) = 0.$$

Moreover, we denote by  $t_i > 0$  the real number which verifies

$$I_{\omega_i}(t_i w_0) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\omega_i}(t w_0).$$

Thus, by definition of  $\tilde{C}(\omega_0)$ ,

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_i) \leq I_{\omega_i}(t_i w_0).$$

It is possible to prove that  $\{t_i\}$  is a bounded sequence. Then without loss of generality we can assume that  $t_i \rightarrow t_0$ . Now, the Lebesgue theorem gives

$$\lim_i I_{\omega_i}(t_i w_0) = I_{\omega_0}(t_0 w_0) \leq I_{\omega_0}(w_0) = \tilde{C}(\omega_0),$$

leading to

$$C_0 \leq \tilde{C}(\omega_0). \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.5)–(3.6),

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_0) = C_0.$$

The above study implies that

$$\lim_i C(\omega_{n_{j_i}}) = C(\omega_0).$$

*Analysis of (3.4):* Note that (3.2) implies that  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $X^s$ . Consequently, there is  $u_0 \in X^s$  such that up to a subsequence

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ in } X^s.$$

The above information permits to conclude that  $u_0$  is a nontrivial solution of the problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + |x|^2 u = \omega_0 u + |u|^{2\sigma} u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in X^s. \quad (3.7)$$

By Fatous' lemma, it is possible to prove that

$$\liminf_n I_{\omega_n}(u_n) \geq I_{\omega_0}(u_0). \quad (3.8)$$

On the other hand, there is  $\zeta_n > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_n) \leq I_{\omega_n}(\zeta_n u_0), \quad \forall n.$$

So

$$\limsup_n I_{\omega_n}(u_n) = \limsup_n \tilde{C}(\omega_n) \leq \limsup_n I_{\omega_n}(\zeta_n u_0) = I_{\omega_0}(u_0). \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.8)–(3.9),

$$\lim_n I_{\omega_n}(u_n) = I_{\omega_0}(u_0).$$

The last limit yields

$$u_n \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } X^s.$$

Since  $\{\tilde{C}(\omega_{n_{j_k}})\}$  is bounded, there are a subsequence  $\{\omega_{n_{j_k}}\} \subset \{\omega_{n_j}\}$  and  $C_* > 0$  such that

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_{n_{j_k}}) \rightarrow C_*.$$

In the sequel, we will use the following notations:

$$u_k = u_{n_{j_k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_k = \omega_{n_{j_k}}.$$

Thus,

$$u_k \rightarrow u_0, \quad \omega_k \rightarrow \omega_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}(\omega_k) \rightarrow C_*.$$

In what follows, we denote by  $t_k > 0$  the real number which verifies

$$I_{\omega_0}(t_k u_k) = \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\omega_0}(t u_k).$$

Thus, by definition of  $\tilde{C}(\omega_0)$ ,

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_0) \leq I_{\omega_0}(t_k u_k).$$

It is possible to prove that  $\{t_k\}$  is a bounded sequence. Then without loss of generality we can assume that  $t_k \rightarrow t_*$ . Now, the Lebesgue theorem gives

$$\lim_k I_{\omega_0}(t_k u_k) = I_{\omega_0}(t_* u_0) = \lim_k I_{\omega_k}(t_k u_k) \leq \lim_k \tilde{C}(\omega_k) = C_*.$$

Thereby,

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_0) \leq C_*. \quad (3.10)$$

On the other hand, from (3.4),

$$\lim_k \tilde{C}(\omega_k) \leq \tilde{C}(\omega_0)$$

leading to

$$C_* \geq \tilde{C}(\omega_0). \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.10)–(3.11),

$$C_* = \tilde{C}(\omega_0).$$

The above study implies that

$$\lim_k \tilde{C}(\omega_{n_{j_k}}) = \tilde{C}(\omega_0).$$

From (3.3) and (3.4),

$$\lim_n \tilde{C}(\omega_n) = \tilde{C}(\omega_0). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *The function  $w \mapsto \tilde{C}(w)$  is a decreasing function for every  $w < \lambda_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2 < \lambda_1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega_2}(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_s^2 - \frac{\omega_2}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \|u\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_s^2 - \frac{\omega_1}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \|u\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} = I_{\omega_1}(u), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$I_{\omega_2}(tu) \leq I_{\omega_1}(tu), \quad \forall t \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u \in X^s,$$

and

$$\max_{t \geq 0} I_{\omega_2}(tu) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\omega_1}(tu), \quad \forall u \in X^s.$$

Therefore, by (3.1), we get

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_2) \leq \tilde{C}(\omega_1). \quad (3.12) \quad \square$$

**Remark 3.3.** Note that by (3.12) we have that  $\tilde{C}$  is a decreasing function. Furthermore, we can show that the function  $\tilde{C}$  is strictly decreasing, i.e.

$$\omega_1 < \omega_2 \quad \text{implies that} \quad \tilde{C}(\omega_2) < \tilde{C}(\omega_1). \quad (3.13)$$

In fact, let  $u_{\omega_1}$  be a critical point with critical value  $\tilde{C}(\omega_1)$ . Then for any  $t > 0$  we have

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_1) = I_{\omega_1}(u_{\omega_1}) \geq I_{\omega_1}(tu_{\omega_1}) > I_{\omega_2}(tu_{\omega_1}).$$

Let  $t^* > 0$  be such that  $t^*u_{\omega_1} \in \mathcal{N}_{\omega_2}$  and

$$I_{\omega_2}(t^*u_{\omega_1}) = \sup_{t>0} I_{\omega_2}(tu_{\omega_1}).$$

Consequently,

$$\tilde{C}(\omega_1) > I_{\omega_2}(t^*u_{\omega_1}) \geq \inf_{\mathcal{N}_{\omega_2}} I_{\omega_2}(u) = \tilde{C}(\omega_2).$$

Now, let  $u_\omega \in X^s$  be the ground state solution given by Theorem 2.4, that is,

$$I_\omega(u_\omega) = \tilde{C}(\omega) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\omega(u_\omega)u_\omega = 0. \quad (3.14)$$

Then

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = I_\omega(u_\omega) - \frac{1}{2}I'_\omega(u_\omega)u_\omega = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\right) \|u_\omega\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}. \quad (3.15)$$

**Theorem 3.4.** *The ground state level  $\tilde{C}(\omega)$  is differentiable at almost everywhere  $\omega < \lambda_1$ . Moreover,*

$$\tilde{C}'(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2}\|u_\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.$$

*Proof.* We borrowed some ideas from [18]. Consider the ground state level

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\omega} I_\omega(u) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{C}(\eta) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\eta} I_\eta(u), \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_\omega(u) = & \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u^2 dx \right) \\ & - \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2\sigma+2} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_\eta(u) = & \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|^2}{|x - z|^{N+2s}} dz dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u^2 dx \right) \\ & - \frac{\eta}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2\sigma+2} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Note that, for any  $\eta < \lambda_1$ , we can show that there exists  $u_\eta \in X^s \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$I_\eta(u_\eta) = \tilde{C}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad I'_\eta(u_\eta)u_\eta = 0.$$

Furthermore, there exists  $t(\omega, \eta) > 0$  such that  $t(\omega, \eta)u_\eta \in \mathcal{N}_\omega$ , namely

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_\eta(x) - u_\eta(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u_\eta^2 dx \\ &= \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\eta^2 dx + t^{2\sigma}(\omega, \eta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\eta|^{2\sigma+2} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

and  $t(\eta, \eta) = 1$ . By the implicit function theorem,  $t(\omega, \eta)$  is differentiable with respect to variable  $\omega < \lambda_1$ .

Define the function

$$\begin{aligned} F(\omega, \eta) &= I_\omega(t(\omega, \eta)u_\eta) \\ &= \frac{t^2(\omega, \eta)}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_\eta(x) - u_\eta(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{n+2s}} dy dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 u_\eta^2 dx \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{t^2(\omega, \eta)\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\eta^2 dx - \frac{t^{2\sigma+2}(\omega, \eta)}{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_\eta|^{2\sigma+2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} F(\omega, \eta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} I_\omega(t(\omega, \eta)u_\eta) \\ &= t(\omega, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} t(\omega, \eta) \|u_\eta\|_{X^s}^2 - t^{2\sigma+1}(\omega, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} t(\omega, \eta) \|u_\eta\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} \\ &\quad - t(\omega, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} t(\omega, \eta) \omega \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \frac{t^2(\omega, \eta)}{2} \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ &= t(\omega, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} t(\omega, \eta) \left( \|u_\eta\|_{X^s}^2 - \omega \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - t^{2\sigma}(\omega, \eta) \|u_\eta\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{t^2(\omega, \eta)}{2} \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \\ &= -\frac{t^2(\omega, \eta)}{2} \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\omega) - \tilde{C}(\eta) &\leq I_\omega(t(\omega, \eta)u_\eta) - I_\eta(u_\eta) = F(\omega, \eta) - F(\eta, \eta) \\ &= (\omega - \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} F(\xi, \eta) \Big|_{\xi \in [\omega, \eta]} \\ &= -(\omega - \eta) \frac{t^2(\xi, \eta)}{2} \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \quad \xi \in [\omega, \eta]. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get

$$\limsup_{\omega \rightarrow \eta} \frac{\tilde{C}(\omega) - \tilde{C}(\eta)}{\omega - \eta} \leq -\frac{\|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{2}. \quad (3.20)$$

We claim that the map  $\omega \mapsto u_\omega$  from  $(0, \lambda_1)$  to  $X^s$  is continuous. In fact, by contradiction, suppose that there is  $\omega_0 < \lambda_1$ , a sequence  $(\omega_n) \subset (-\infty, \lambda_1)$  with  $\omega_n \rightarrow \omega_0$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|u_{\omega_n} - u_{\omega_0}\|_s \geq \delta.$$

Note that  $(u_{\omega_n}) \subset X^s$  is a bounded Palais–Smale sequence for  $I_{\omega_0}$  at the level  $\tilde{C}(\omega_0)$ . In fact, by Lemma 3.1, the sequence  $(\tilde{C}(\omega_n)) \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\omega_0}(u_n) &= I_{\omega_n}(u_n) + \frac{\omega_n - \omega_0}{2} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2, \\ I'_{\omega_0}(u_n)\varphi &= I'_{\omega_n}(u_n)\varphi + (\omega_n - \omega_0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $I'_{\omega_n}(u_n) = o_n(1)$  and  $(u_n) \subset X^s$  is bounded, we have

$$I'_{\omega_0}(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also

$$\frac{\omega_n - \omega_0}{2} \|u_n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \rightarrow 0,$$

and we deduce that  $(I_{\omega_0}(u_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded sequence. This proves that  $(u_{\omega_n}) \subset X^s$  is a bounded Palais–Smale sequence for  $I_{\omega_0}$ . As in Lemma 2.3, we deduce that  $u_{\omega_n} \rightarrow u_0$  with  $u_0 \in X^s$  a critical point of  $I_{\omega_0}$ . At this point, using the uniqueness, we deduce that  $u_{\omega_n} \rightarrow u_{\omega_0}$  and this contradiction concludes the proof. Consequently, since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\omega) - \tilde{C}(\eta) &\geq I_\omega(t(\omega, \omega)u_\omega) - I_\eta(t(\eta, \omega)u_\omega) \\ &= F(\omega, \omega) - F(\eta, \omega) \\ &= (\omega - \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} F(\xi, \omega) \quad \xi \in [\omega, \eta] \\ &= (\omega - \eta) \left( -\frac{t^2(\xi, \omega)}{2} \|u_\omega\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

by the previous analysis, we obtain

$$\liminf_{\omega \rightarrow \eta} \frac{\tilde{C}(\omega) - \tilde{C}(\eta)}{\omega - \eta} \geq -\frac{\|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{2}. \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, by (3.20) and (3.21) we obtain

$$\tilde{C}'(\eta) = -\frac{1}{2} \|u_\eta\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2. \quad (3.22)$$

□

#### 4. BIFURCATION TYPE RESULT

In this section we will prove a bifurcation type result via variational methods. More precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For every  $\omega \in (0, \lambda_1)$  there is a nonnegative and nontrivial solution  $u_\omega$  of (1.1) such that*

$$\|u_\omega\|_s \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \omega \rightarrow \lambda_1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi \in X^s$  be such that

$$\|\varphi\|_s^2 = \lambda_1 \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2.$$

Next,

$$0 < \tilde{C}(\omega) \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\omega(t\varphi).$$

For every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$I_\omega(t\varphi) = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \omega) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{2} t^2 - \frac{t^{2\sigma+2}}{2\sigma+2} \|\varphi\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}.$$

Let

$$g(t) = \frac{(\lambda_1 - \omega)}{2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 t^2 - \frac{\|\varphi\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}}{2\sigma+2} t^{2\sigma+2}.$$

By elementary computations, we can show that  $g$  attains its maximum at the point

$$t_0 = \left( \frac{(\lambda_1 - \omega) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2}{\|\varphi\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{2\sigma+2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}.$$

Hence

$$0 < \tilde{C}(\omega) \leq g(t_0) = \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2} \right) \frac{\left[ (\lambda_1 - \omega) \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 \right]^{\frac{2\sigma+2}{2\sigma}}}{\|\varphi\|_{L^{2\sigma+2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{\frac{2\sigma+2}{\sigma}}},$$

so that

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \lambda_1} \tilde{C}(\omega) = 0.$$

From this it is easy to deduce that

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \lambda_1} \|u_\omega\|_s = 0,$$

where  $u_\omega$  is given by Theorem 2.4. Indeed, since

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = I_\omega(u_\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \|u_\omega\|_s^2 - \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_\omega) dx$$

and

$$0 = I'_\omega(u_\omega)u_\omega = \|u_\omega\|_s^2 - \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_\omega)u_\omega dx,$$

where

$$f(t) = |t| + \frac{1}{\omega}|t|^{2\sigma}t \quad \text{and} \quad F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau = \frac{|t|^2}{2} + \frac{1}{\omega(2\sigma+2)}|t|^{2\sigma+2}.$$

Moreover, this function satisfies the Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition with  $\theta = 2\sigma + 2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(\omega) &= I_\omega(u_\omega) - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}I'_\omega(u_\omega)u_\omega \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\right)\|u_\omega\|_s^2 + \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma+2}f(u_\omega)u_\omega - F(u_\omega)\right) dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma+2}\right)\|u_\omega\|_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

This show that  $\|u_\omega\|_s \rightarrow 0$  as  $\omega \rightarrow \lambda_1$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Alves, C. Torres Ledesma, *Existence and concentration of solution for a non local regional Schrödinger equation with competing potentials*, Glasg. Math. J. **61** (2019), no. 2, 441–460.
- [2] F. Almgren, E. Lieb, *Symmetric decreasing rearrangement is sometimes continuous*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1989), 683–773.
- [3] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Functional Analysis **14** (1973), no. 4, 349–381.
- [4] X. Chang, *Ground state solutions of asymptotically linear fractional Schrödinger equation*, J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. 6, 061504.
- [5] M. Cheng, *Bound state for the fractional Schrödinger equation with unbounded potential*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 4, 043507.
- [6] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [7] Z. Ding, H. Hajaiej, *On a fractional Schrödinger equation in the presence of harmonic potential*, Electron. Res. Arch. **29** (2021), no. 5, 3449–3469.
- [8] S. Dovetta, E. Serra, P. Tilli, *Action versus energy ground states in nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Math. Ann. **385** (2023), 1545–1576.

- [9] P. Felmer, C. Torres Ledesma, *Non-linear Schrödinger equation with non-local regional diffusion*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 75–98.
- [10] G. Fibich, X.-P. Wang, *Stability of solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations with inhomogeneous nonlinearities*, Phys. D **175** (2003), no. 1–2, 96–108.
- [11] T. Gou, *Uniqueness of ground states for fractional nonlinear elliptic problem with harmonic potential*, arXiv:2208.12068v2, (2022).
- [12] H. Hajaiej, L. Song, *Comment on the uniqueness of the ground state solutions of a fractional NLS with a harmonic potential*, arXiv:2209.05389v1, (2022).
- [13] H. Hajaiej, P. Markowich, S. Trabelsi, *Minimizers of a class of constrained vectorial variational problems: Part I*, Milan J. Math. **82** (2014), no. 1, 81–98.
- [14] L. Jeanjean, *Some continuation properties via minimax arguments*, Electron. J. Differential Equations **2011** (2011), Article no. 48.
- [15] S. Secchi, *Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in  $\mathbb{R}^N$* , J. Math. Phys. **54** (2013), no. 3, 031501.
- [16] L. Song, *Properties of the least action level, bifurcation phenomena and the existence of normalized solutions for a family of semi-linear elliptic equations without the hypothesis of autonomy*, J. Differential Equations **315** (2022), 179–199.
- [17] C. Torres Ledesma, *Existence and symmetry result for fractional  $p$ -Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **16** (2017), no. 1, 99–113.
- [18] X. Wang, B. Zeng, *On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with competing potential functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **28** (1997), no. 3, 633–655.
- [19] J. Zhang, X. Bao, J. Zhang, *Existence and concentration of solutions to Kirchhoff-type equations in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with steep potential well vanishing at infinity and exponential critical nonlinearities*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **12** (2023), no. 1, 20220317.
- [20] W. Zhang, S. Yuan, L. Wen, *Existence and concentration of ground-states for fractional Choquard equation with indefinite potential*, Adv. Nonlinear Anal. **11** (2022), no. 1, 1552–1578.

César E. Torres Ledesma (corresponding author)

ctl\_576@yahoo.esl

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6889-4982>

FCA Research Group, Departamento de Matemáticas  
 Instituto de Investigación en Matemáticas  
 Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas  
 Universidad Nacional de Trujillo  
 Av. Juan Pablo II s/n. Trujillo-Perú

Hernán C. Gutierrez

hcuti@unitru.edu.pe

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8947-5368>

FCA Research Group, Departamento de Matemáticas

Universidad Nacional de Trujillo

Av. Juan Pablo II s/n. Trujillo-Perú

Jesús A. Rodríguez

javalos@unitru.edu.pe

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7070-4781>

FCA Research Group, Departamento de Matemáticas

Universidad Nacional de Trujillo

Av. Juan Pablo II s/n. Trujillo-Perú

Manuel M. Bonilla

mmontalvo@unitru.edu.pe

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5437-7802>

FCA Research Group, Departamento de Matemáticas

Universidad Nacional de Trujillo

Av. Juan Pablo II s/n. Trujillo-Perú

*Received: July 17, 2023.*

*Revised: March 19, 2024.*

*Accepted: March 21, 2024.*